Is Laser the Best Choice for the Treatment of Peri-Implantitis?

Jamil Awad Shibli, DDS, MS, PhD

NOWADAYS, THE USE OF DENTAL IMPLANTS to restore partial and totally edentulous subjects is a successful treatment in dentistry. The global market of dental implants was valued at US\$ 3 billion in 2016 with >9.500 million implants being placed all over the world. Considering that the prevalence of biologic problems regarding dental implantsupported restorations is growing in the same proportion, some studies revealed a concern about the scenario, wherein the incidence of peri-implant diseases ranged between 0.4% and 43% after 5 years.

Peri-implantitis (Fig. 1) is an inflammatory process from a microbial origin that causes bone loss and if not treated could lead to the loss of the implant-supported restoration.¹ The etiology of peri-implantitis is associated with a complex bacterial biofilm² and risk factors such as smoking and diabetes. Other potential risk factors such as occlusal overloading, osteoporosis, and local factors related to the surgical peri-implant site might increase the severity of the periimplant tissues destruction.

Intriguingly, there is no specific and predictable treatment for peri-implantitis, although several surgical and nonsurgical therapeutic strategies have been proposed to manage this complex multifactorial disease.¹ These strategies to treat periimplantitis can be divided into anti-infective and regenerative therapeutic approaches. Control of the bacterial plaque in subgingival environment and the removal of the contaminants and hard deposits on implant surfaces are sine qua non to restore the peri-implant tissue health. Further, mechanical and chemical methods change the implant surface topography, as well as the oxide layer, avoiding peri-implant bone regeneration and consequently the new bone reformation on the previously contaminated area (also called reosseointegration).

Physical methods using lasers have been employed in both anti-infective and detoxification methods in the past decades.^{3,4} Anti-infective therapy using photodynamic therapy (PDT) utilizes a low-power laser after photosensitizing molecules application such as toluidine blue and methylene blue. The mechanism by which PDT kills periodontal pathogenic microorganisms such as Agregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Fusobacterium nucleatum, and Prevotella intermedia is currently under active investigation. Previous data showed that this mechanism may involve alterations, such as oxidation of biomolecules in membranes and/or plasma membranes of oral pathogens, and

DNA damage which can be mediated by the singlet oxygen. PDT offers some advantages over the use of conventional antimicrobials (antiseptics and antibiotics), as prevention of several target periodontopathogens resistant to the photochemically generated reactive oxygen species (ROS), which is thought to be responsible for bacterial killing; moreover, it would not be necessary to keep high concentrations of the photosensitizers in peri-implant defects for long periods. Hence, PDT may be a useful alternative to antibiotics for the local infection treatment by eradication of target cells since the PDT protocol uses an appropriate photosensitizer, well-known laser parameters, and light wavelength for generation of ROS.

Sterilization and cleaning/decontamination of dental implant surfaces by means of high- and low-intensity laser therapy using CO2, Nd:YAG, Er:YAG, Er, Cr:YSGG, and GaAlA have also been employed. Laser irradiation removes the inflammatory soft tissue around the peri-implant pocket and removes contaminates from the implant surface without damaging or altering the titanium surface topography. Taken together, this approach allows a better stabilization of the blood clot with or without adding some bone graft materials during the guided bone regeneration. An elegant review⁵ has also pointed out that additional decontamination and detoxification effects may impact positively on wound healing of the treated peri-implant site and offer several advantages over conventional mechanical treatment using curettes, prophy jet power, and burs. Complementarily, it could be speculated that laser irradiation of the dental implant surface could provide an antimicrobial effect and inhibit bacterial attachment/colonization after irradiation.

Finally, photobiomodulation (PBM) produced as secondary effect after high-level laser therapy positively modulates wound healing.⁶ This effect is induced by promotion of cell proliferation and differentiation, as well as anti-inflammatory effects playing a pivotal impact on peri-implant tissues. Recent study⁷ on PBM showed that low doses of infrared laserinduced ROS generated pathways that increase the levels of transforming growth factor (TGF) beta-1 next to wound healing lesions. This increase on TGF beta-1 after PBM plays an important role on human beta defensin-2 expression, the antimicrobial peptides that present a positive effect against microbes. In addition, PBM treatment affects directly cell receptors as opsins, which could modulate cell tumors.

Downloaded by STOCKHOLMS UNIVERSITET from www.liebertpub.com at 11/14/18. For personal use only

Dental Research Division, Department of Periodontology and Oral Implantology, University of Guarulhos (UnG), Guarulhos, Brazil.

Reference:

Shibli JA. Is Laser the Best Choice for the Treatment of Peri-Implantitis? Photomed Laser Surg. 2018 Nov;36(11):569-570. doi: 10.1089/pho.2018.4521. PMID: 30422756.